Shale I Frack or Mine: Comparing Natural Gas to Other Fossil Fuels

Michael S. Flynn

Quantitative Methods in Rocks and Minerals

Steve Teeter

Summer Ventures in Science and Mathematics

University of North Carolina at Charlotte

SHALE I FRACK OR MINE

2

Abstract

This research explores the benefits and drawbacks of using and obtaining fossil fuels, namely

natural gas, coal, and oil. Hydraulic fracturing, also known as fracking, is a controversial

process on the forefront of the present day pursuit for clean energy. This paper details the

fracking method and any environmental repercussions involved, including the possibility of

contamination of groundwater. The three fossil fuels are compared several ways, including

volumes of proven reserves and the amount of carbon dioxide emissions. Economic prospects

and profitability of natural gas and coal are examined, taking into account the cost of extraction

and cleanup. Shale's potential as an energy source for natural gas is discussed, as well as

complications with storing and transporting natural gas. Natural gas requires completely sealed

high-pressure pipelines and storage tanks; otherwise, methane and other trace gases could leak.

Benefits and disadvantages of the energy hybridization known as coal bed methane are reviewed.

Coal bed methane is coal that has absorbed natural gas during the decay of organic material.

Coal mining methods are mentioned in comparison to the impacts of fracking, specifically in

environmental context. The use of fossil fuels in the future and ideas for increasing energy

efficiency are pondered in the conclusion paragraph.

Keywords: Fracking, natural gas, energy